

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/563,448	01/04/2006	Mamadou Dicko	4590-463	8086
33308 1 OWE HALID	7590 07/23/2007 FMAN & BERNER, LLP		EXAMINER	
1700 DIAGON	IAL ROAD, SUITE 300		GIBSON, RANDY W	
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314		•	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2841	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
,			07/23/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

			$\gamma_H$			
		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		10/563,448	DICKO, MAMADOU			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	·	Randy W. Gibson	2841			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap or Reply	pears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address			
WHIC - External after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLEMENTED IN CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING Ensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. 9 period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statuted the period for reply will, by statuted the period for reply will, set and the period for reply will, set and the period for reply will.	DATE OF THIS COMMUNI .136(a). In no event, however, may a d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON te, cause the application to become Al	CATION. reply be timely filed ITHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status	·					
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 02.	July 2007.				
	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This action is non-final.					
3)	·					
	closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.E	). 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Dispositi	on of Claims	•				
5)□	Claim(s) <u>11-20</u> is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray Claim(s) is/are allowed.  Claim(s) <u>11-20</u> is/are rejected.  Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8)[	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	or election requirement.				
Applicat	ion Papers					
-	The specification is objected to by the Examin					
10)⊠	0)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>2 <i>July</i> 2007</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected to by the Examiner.					
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	, ,			
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig  All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document  2. Certified copies of the priority document  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document  application from the International Burea  See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. nts have been received in A ority documents have been au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	application No received in this National Stage			
Attachmen	et(s) ce of References Cited (PTO-892)	A) 🖂 Intonder	Summary (PTO-413)			
2)	the of References Cited (PTO-692) the of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) the No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No	summary (P10-413) s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application			

Art Unit: 2841

### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments filed July 2, 2007 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant states that:

There is no suggestion in either Muhs et al or Jader et al of a system in which a conducting cover forming an interface is provided that stops an electromagnetic field radiated by an electromagnetic loop, the interface being between a surface on which pressure is intended to be applied and the electromagnetic loop. Thus the combination of Muhs et al and Jader et al does not teach, or suggest, the system as claimed and the rejection should be withdrawn.

The examiner disagrees; the Jardar reference expressly states that the conductive wire (10) is surrounded by conductive screen (12,22). Obviously a grounded conductor inherently provides some electromagnetic shielding since it will reflect electromagnetic waves. Jardar expressly acknowledges this in his background section:

The screen, which for the wire loop works as an inductance-affecting coil, is preferably made so that it will surround the magnetic field of the wire loop, whereby at the same time a screening against interference from external magnetic fields will be obtained. [Column 1, lines 38-42]

Application/Control Number: 10/563,448 Page 3

Art Unit: 2841

So it is clear that if the screen prevents <u>external</u> electromagnetic fields from entering the device, then it must also inherently prevent <u>internal</u> electromagnetic fields from escaping.

Besides, the examiner notes that a truck that is separated from the mat by rubber tires will probably not generate that much interference anyway.

In addition, the remarks about how the fiber optic mat of the Muhs system does not show an electromagnetic shield does not seem to have any relevance to the rejection. It is black letter law that, one cannot show nonobviousness by attacking references individually where the rejections are based on combinations of references. See *In re Keller*, 642 F.2d 413, 208 USPQ 871 (CCPA 1981); *In re Merck & Co.*, 800 F.2d 1091, 231 USPQ 375 (Fed. Cir. 1986).

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

2. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Art Unit: 2841

3. Claims 11-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Muhs et al in view of Jarder et al. Muhs discloses the claimed invention except for the specific type of pressure sensor used. Muhs uses a fiber optic pressure sensor instead of an electromagnetic loop. However, Jardar shows that this type of sensor is a functional equivalent of the fiber optic pressure sensor, and it would have been obvious to substitute one for the other motivated by their know suitability for their intended use. See *Ryco, Inc. v. Ag-Bag Corp.*, 857 F.2d 1418, 8 USPQ2d 1323 (Fed. Cir. 1988); and, *MPEP* § 2144.07.

#### Conclusion

4. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Art Unit: 2841

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Randy W. Gibson whose telephone number is (571) 272-2103. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri., 9-5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Dean A. Reichard can be reached on (571) 272-1984. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Rand W. Gibson Primary Examiner Art Unit 2841